

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,420	01/28/2004	Jeremy Klug	P1087/N9963	2947
7590 01/24/2006			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Law			MILLER, DANIEL H	
Waddey & Patte	erson, P.C.			
Bank of America Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
414 Union Street, Suite 2020			1775	
Nashville, TN 37219			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>/</i> /				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/766,420	KLUG, JEREMY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel Miller	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/1.	<u>5/2006</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	n)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6, 7-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-6, 7-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xammer, wote the attached Office	e Action of form PTO-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
decline attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Intensious Summer	v (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/766,420 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 13-20 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected method, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11/15/2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klug (U.S. 6,663,807B2). (us 2002/0167109)
- 2. Regarding claim 1, Klug teaches a material of variable impregnated flexible graphite having variable resin concentration across the width of the sheet (column 13 line 35-38). The flexible graphite sheet is embossed on both of its major surfaces along the variable resin impregnation, giving the sheet improved molding characteristics (figure 8, column 13; 14 line 35-40; 1-10).

Application/Control Number: 10/766,420 Page 3

Art Unit: 1775

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klug.
- 5. Klug, discussed above, is silent as to the presents of linear or non-linear variation of resin concentration across the thickness of the graphite sheet.
- 6. However, Klug does teach complex variations (column 1 line 37-42) in the resin concentration, which would render obvious both linear, and non-linear variations in resin concentration through the thickness of the sheet to a person of ordinary skill in the art.
- 7. Claims 6 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klug in view of Mercuri (U.S. 6,605,379) or Norley (U.S. 6,777,086B2).
- 8. Klug, discussed above, is silent as to the use of a laminate of multiple sheets of resin impregnated flexible graphite.
- 9. Mercuri teaches an embossed composite of resin-impregnated graphite flakes laminated together (10) between a polymer layer (550) (figure 5, and column 11 line 5-7, 50-68). The product is an electrode for a fuel cell (abstract and figure 5).
- 10. Norley teaches that stacked laminates of resin impregnated graphite sheets have unexpectedly good mechanical and thermal properties as well as good machinability (column 3 line 60-68).

Application/Control Number: 10/766,420 Page 4

Art Unit: 1775

11. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Klug with the teachings of Mercuri or Norley in order to create an electrode for a fuel cell or to achieve unexpectedly good mechanical and thermal properties as well as good machinability.

Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2-6, and 7-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,420

Art Unit: 1775

Conclusion

Cited but not relied upon is Chung (U.S. 4,946,892) that teaches composite

graphite disposed in a solid matrix (abstract).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Daniel Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1534. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Miller

JENNIFER MCNEIL PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5